

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/791,156	03/01/2004	Katherine Woo	2043.011US1	3410
49845	7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938			SMITH, JEFFREY A	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments		10/791,156	WOO, KATHERINE
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffrey A. Smith	3625
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			·
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Secondary</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Condition for the Executive Condition for the	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on O1 March 2004 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to the discount of the disc	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,156

Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Response to Amendment

The response filed September 8, 2005 has been entered and considered.

Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claims 1-10, 18, and 22 are currently amended.

An action on the merits follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks (US 2002/0016765 A1) in view of Toohey (U.S. Patent No. 6,405,176 B1).

### Regarding claims 1-9

Application/Control Number: 10/791,156

Art Unit: 3625

Sacks discloses a method comprising receiving, from a third party shopping cart application, detailed information, in response to a user request to proceed with a payment for one or more items; and upon receiving the detailed information form the third party shopping cart application, communicating to the user via a communications network one or more user interfaces that facilitate processing of the payment for the one or more items. See particularly paragraph [0028]. The third part shopping cart application is considered external to the online payment service.

Detailed information may include item name, item number, item price, and item quantity. See paragraph [0017].

The user request to proceed with the payment is received when the user clicks a checkout button. See paragraph [0020].

Applicant's attention is directed to paragraphs [0036]-[0046] for a more detailed discussion of the method.

It is noted in Sacks that the third-party shopping cart is provided, managed and maintained by the payment processor. See paragraph [0028]. Although Sacks discloses that it may be used for purchases or transaction involving

Art Unit: 3625

multiple sellers, it is not fully clear that the shopping cart application is external to the payment processor, per se.

Toohey, however, in a similar method (col. 1, lines 7-9), discloses an electronic shopping basket (48) application which is provided by an electronic mall (42) which attaches the electronic shopping basket to each electronic shopper as the shopper enters the mall (col. 3, lines 49-54). Each electronic basket, like the Sacks shopping cart, may be used for purchases or transactions involving multiple sellers (col. 4, lines 20-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the method of Sacks to have included a third party shopping cart application external to the payment processor (of Sacks) in order to have provided the convenience of single payment transaction for all shopping among a plurality of sellers, and at the same time, allowing the sellers to receive data to update respective point of sale systems (Toohey: col. 2, lines 21-26).

# Regarding claims 10-24

These claims are written as apparatus, system, and computer readable medium for performing the functions recited in the method of claims 1-9. These claims are rejected under a similar line of reasoning.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

Art Unit: 3625

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-6763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (571) 272-7159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

jas